

to make this tax reduction day for D.C. residents. I expect to have leadership support for the D.C. Economic Recovery Act, and I expect support and will seek support from my side of the aisle as well.

There are six reasons why this House should support this bill. One, the District is insolvent with no other revenue stream in sight.

Two, Congress has constitutional responsibility for this city, and no other, as this body constantly reminds me.

Three, this is the only city prevented by Congress from taxing commuters who use city services.

Four, this is the only city that pays for State, county, and municipal functions.

Five, this is the only city with no State to recycle income from wealthier areas.

Six, this is the only city that pays Federal income taxes. We are second per capita in the United States without voting representation in the Congress.

These are six good reasons to support the D.C. Economic Recovery Act.

SUPPORT THE TAX LIMITATION AMENDMENT

(Mr. FUNDERBURK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FUNDERBURK. Mr. Speaker, today is tax day. As I speak, literally millions of Americans are preparing their tax forms to meet today's deadline.

What many of them will discover is that they get to keep less while the Government gets to keep more. Since Bill Clinton took office, family income has flattened while taxes have gone up.

Today Members of this body will have an opportunity to do something about the over-taxation of the American people. Today we will vote on the Barton amendment to change the Constitution to require a two-thirds supermajority to raise taxes.

Considering the voracious appetite for taxes and spending habits of the Federal Government, I think this is a reasonable amendment. It is based squarely on the philosophy of limited Government espoused by the Founding Fathers. It is not time to put limits on the Federal Government. Support the tax limitation amendment.

GET REAL AND VOTE FOR REAL THINGS

(Mrs. SCHROEDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mrs. SCHROEDER. Mr. Speaker, I find this debate fascinating. Like it is really easy to get a majority to lift taxes here, like people just love to run out and vote to lift taxes. Well, that is absolutely ridiculous. That is the hardest vote ever.

What we really need to do is first have a budget here. I find it amazing that people are saying they are going to insist we have two-thirds to raise taxes. When we did not even get a majority to have a budget? And we are halfway through this fiscal year. Yes, we are on continuing resolution No. 12, halfway through this year.

And can you imagine a more inefficient way to run a government, a business, or anything? Every 2 weeks you have to come back here with your tin cup and rattle it, beg, tap dance, do whatever they ask you, to stay in business. We ought to be talking about doing something that we can do that is real. Until we get a budget for this year, I think it is interesting that we can throw all sorts of gimmicks around and say that is the solution. The solution is to get real and vote for real things.

SAY "NO" TO MASSIVE TAX INCREASES

(Mrs. SEASTRAND asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mrs. SEASTRAND. Mr. Speaker, for the last 40 years, Congress has violated some basic economic principles on the way to creating a massive high tax, high regulation, big-spending welfare state. One of those principles violated is that you should live within your means.

Beginning with Arkansas in the 1930's, the States began to put constitutional limits on taxing and spending. This chart compares the growth in State spending in the 10 States that require a supermajority to raise taxes to those that do not.

Clearly, we can see that spending is more restrained in the supermajority States.

This is exactly what we need at the Federal level—a serious commitment to spending restraint and the ability to say "no" to massive increases in Federal spending, Federal programs, and Federal benefits.

Mr. Speaker, it is time to follow the lead of the 10 States that require a supermajority to raise taxes. And it's time for the Government to do what every American family does—live within its means.

TAX DAY 1996

(Mr. SHADEGG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SHADEGG. Mr. Speaker, on tax day 1996, today, we have a chance to amend the U.S. Constitution to require a supermajority to raise taxes. The last speaker on the opposite side of the aisle ridiculed the notion that it was difficult to raise taxes. In fact, she said that it is very hard to raise taxes, one of the most difficult of all votes.

Since 1980 this Congress has raised taxes six times. Each of those times it has increased the burden on the average American taxpayer. In my lifetime, that burden has been increased 1,200 percent. I do not know a constituent who believes they are getting 1,200 percent more out of the Federal Government today than they were in 1950.

The premise underlying this constitutional amendment is straightforward. It is one of fiscal responsibility. If you believe this Congress must be more responsible about spending the money it has, then you believe you must vote for this constitutional amendment, because by making it somewhat more difficult to take taxes out of the pockets of the American taxpayer, we will force ourselves to spend the money we have more prudently.

I urge passage of the amendment.

CONGRESS' SINGLE LARGEST MISTAKE

(Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SAM JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, in 1909, the Congress made the single largest mistake in the history of this country. It passed the 16th amendment to the Constitution, which allowed Congress to tax income. That single act succeeded in creating a tax system that is economically destructive, impossibly complex, overly intrusive, unprincipled, dishonest, unfair, and inefficient.

This country deserves a change. We need a tax system based on a vision of America that places the individual, not the government, at the center of society.

□ 1415

We deserve a system that will increase economic growth, create jobs, expand opportunities, and allow people to achieve the American dream. We need a system that promotes freedom.

Two things need to be done. One, we need to get this two-thirds vote to increase taxes; second, we must repeal the 16th amendment to the Constitution. Americans do not want, do not need, and do not deserve the IRS.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. (Mr. EWING). Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. TORKILDSEN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. TORKILDSEN addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]